

Subset-Sum and Knapsack

★ For context cover, see previous notes.

$$\text{SUBSUM} = \{ \langle S, t \rangle \mid S \subseteq \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{N}, \text{A selection of elements } S \text{ of which sum to } t \}$$

We have previously shown many SAT-like problems are NP-complete. Really, we have shown these are all variations of SAT. Using a transformation of SAT to a graph, we were able to show INDSET was NP-complete. Using INDSET, once we had one graph problem, the next two became quite easy. Today we prove that subset sum is NP-complete. This will allow us to prove many more such problems to be NP-complete. (Can you notice that subset sum seems kind of like knapsack?) First, some intuition on addition.

How can we relate boolean logic with addition? If we add powers of two notice how it looks like as a binary string. $2^5 + 2^3 = 100000 + 1000 = 101000$. The output is really like a boolean or of the bits. We will set our numbers up to avoid any carry operations, but we will use each digit of our sum value t independently.

If $t = 1$, and our set contains $(y=1, z=1)$, we can choose only one of y or z , but not both. We use this to ensure we don't choose both x_i, x_i , and neither must have exactly one.

First we prove $\text{SUBSETSUM} \in \text{NP}$. Our polynomial verifier takes as input problem $\langle S, t \rangle$ and a set $v_1, \dots, v_k \in S$. It just adds them to confirm that $\sum v_i = t$.

issue & \bar{x} not appear in clause

2

$$(x_1 \vee x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_2 \vee \bar{x}_3) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3)$$

	x_1	x_2	x_3	C_1	C_2	C_3	
\bar{v}_1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1040
v_1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1029
\bar{v}_2	0	1	0	1	1	0	276
v_2	0	1	0	0	0	1	257
\bar{v}_3	0	0	1	1	0	1	81
v_3	0	0	1	0	1	0	68
s_1	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
\bar{s}_1	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
s_2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
\bar{s}_2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
s_3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
\bar{s}_3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
t	1	1	1	3	3	3	1407

create v_i, \bar{v}_i for each x_i the first bits of v_i, \bar{v}_i are set so that you can only choose exactly one of them the right bits of each v_i, \bar{v}_i are set so if they satisfy that specific clause. we set t to be the left bits all

1. This only enforces we choose only one of x_i, \bar{x}_i , and if it is chosen. we have the rightmost digits be set to be the number of clauses, $\Rightarrow 3$, we add a few slack variables so our sum is exact

$\emptyset \in \text{3SAT} \Rightarrow \langle S, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSETSUM}$ by construction

$\emptyset \notin \text{3SAT}$, no selection of the variables will satisfy no sum of the right digits can be chosen to equal t (slacks) so $\langle S, t \rangle \notin \text{SUBSETSUM}$

This transformation takes polynomial time. A few for loops and bit shifts we conclude $\text{3SAT} \leq_p \text{SUBSETSUM}$. Since

$\text{SUBSETSUM} \in \text{NP}$, SUBSETSUM is NP-complete

Subset sum looks suspiciously close to knapsack.
 In fact, we can prove knapsack is NP-complete. Let us first formalize it as a decision problem.

$$\text{KNAPSACK} = \{ \langle I, V, W \rangle \mid I = \text{set of items } (v_i, w_i) \\ \sum v_i \geq V \\ \sum w_i \leq W \\ \exists \text{ a selection } X \text{ of } I \text{ st}$$

$\text{KNAPSACK} \in \text{NP}$. Our polytime verifier takes as input a problem $\langle I, V, W \rangle$, and a witness, $X \subset I$. Computes sums and checks inequalities against V, W in polytime.

Now we perform a reduction. If $\langle S, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSETSUM}$ where $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ set $X = \{(s_1, s_1), (s_2, s_2), \dots, (s_n, s_n)\}$ That is, set $w_i = s_i$ and $v_i = s_i$. Also set $W = V = t$.

$$\langle S, t \rangle \rightarrow \langle S \times S, t, t \rangle$$

If $\langle S, t \rangle \in \text{SUBSETSUM}$, \exists a selection of its ^{numbers} items to sum to t , so then \exists a selection of weights to sum $\leq t$, and values $\geq t$.

$$\sum s_i = t \Rightarrow \sum w_i \leq t \text{ and } \sum v_i \geq t$$

If $\langle S, t \rangle \notin \text{SUBSETSUM}$, no selection. $\sum s_i \neq t$ for every selection. So \forall selections, either $\sum s_i > t$ or $\sum s_i < t$. If $\sum s_i > t$, then $\sum w_i > W$. If $\sum s_i < t$ then $\sum v_i < V$. Either way, $\langle S \times S, t, t \rangle \notin \text{KNAPSACK}$.

We conclude $\text{SUBSETSUM} \leq_p \text{KNAPSACK}$.

Since $\text{KNAPSACK} \in \text{NP}$, we conclude knapsack is NP-complete.